

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|-----------------|-------------|------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 10/606,723 | 06/26/2003 | | Ajith K. Kumar | 124789/GETS 5304.1 | 3473 | | |
| 321 | 7590 | 05/31/2006 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | | |
| SENNIGER | | | MCCARRY J | MCCARRY JR, ROBERT J | | | |
| ONE METRO | | N SQUARE | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | | |
| 16TH FLOOR | 2 | | | ARTONII | FAFER NUMBER | | |
| ST LOUIS, M | 1O 63102 | 2 | 3617 | | | | |

DATE MAILED: 05/31/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|------------------------|--------------|--|
| 10/606,723 | KUMAR ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Robert J. McCarry, Jr. | 3617 | |

| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | <i>Ŧ</i> ⊢ | | | |
|--|---|--|--|---|
| Before the Filling of all Appear Brief | ' | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | | Robert J. McCarry, Jr. | 3617 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication | n appea | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF | PLICATIO | ON IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWA | NCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior this application, applicant must timely file one of the places the application in condition for allowance; a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in continued time periods: | he follow (2) a Not | ring replies: (1) an amendment, aff lice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c | idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | rce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) | of this A | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth | | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either bo TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See | MPEP 70 | 06.07(f). | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). In have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the per under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration dat set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offmay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR | riod of ext te of the s ffice later | ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi | ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as |
| NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or a a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must | any exter | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | |
| AMENDMENTS | !4! I | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rej (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require fu (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NO | rther cor | nsideration and/or search (see NO | | ecause |
| (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the applicationappeal; and/or | on in bet | ter form for appeal by materially re | ducing or simplifying | the issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without cand NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 C | _ | | ected claims. | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 C | | | mpliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje | | | • | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou non-allowable claim(s). | uld be all | owable if submitted in a separate, | timely filed amendme | ent canceling the |
| 7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendmen how the new or amended claims would be rejecte The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | d is prov | | ll be entered and an e | explanation of |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action because applicant failed to provide a showing of gwas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | good and | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date entered because the affidavit or other evidence fa showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is no | of filing | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe | al and/or appellant fa | ls to provide a |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An expREQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been consideration has been consideration. | dered but | t does NOT place the application in | n condition for allowa | nce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem | nent(s). (| PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N | lo(s) | |
| 13. 🔲 Other: | | 1. / | | _ |
| | | | Me | |
| | | S. JOSEPH MORANO | Robert J. McCarry Patent Examiner | Jr. |
| | | SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAL | MINERUnit 3617 | |
| S. Patent and Trademark Office | | TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3 | 600 | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendments require further consideration by the Examiner since it appears that the claims are repetative by stating a comparison of the axle creep, tractive effort and an application state with an adhesion characteristic indicative of whether the friction agent is being applied and providing an indication of whether the friction modifying system is applying the modifying agent as a function of the comaprison. This requires further consideration to determine how many comparisons of the data are being performed by the system.